#### Highly entangled quantum spin chains

#### Fumihiko Sugino

Center for Theoretical Physics of the Universe, Institute for Basic Science

10th Mathematical Physics Meeting: School and Conference on Modern Mathematical Physics, Belgrade, Sept. 10, 2019

Mainly based on

Bravyi et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118** (2012) 207202, arXiv: 1203.5801 R. Movassagh and P. Shor, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **113** (2016) 13278, arXiv: 1408.1657 F.S. and V. Korepin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **32** (2018) no.28, 1850306, arXiv:1806.04049

### Outline

Introduction

Motzkin spin model

Colored Motzkin model

Rényi entropy

Rényi entropy of Motzkin model

Summary and discussion

#### Quantum entanglement

 Most surprising feature of quantum mechanics, No analog in classical mechanics

#### Quantum entanglement

- Most surprising feature of quantum mechanics, No analog in classical mechanics
- From pure state of the full system S: ρ = |ψ⟩⟨ψ|, reduced density matrix of a subsystem A: ρ<sub>A</sub> = Tr <sub>S−A</sub> ρ can become mixed states, and has nonzero entanglement entropy

$$S_A = -\mathrm{Tr}_A \left[ \rho_A \ln \rho_A \right].$$

This is purely a quantum property.

- Ground states of quantum many-body systems with local interactions typically exhibit the area law behavior of the entanglement entropy:  $S_A \propto (\text{area of } A)$
- Gapped systems in 1D are proven to obey the area law. [Hastings 2007]

- Ground states of quantum many-body systems with local interactions typically exhibit the area law behavior of the entanglement entropy:  $S_A \propto (\text{area of } A)$
- ▶ Gapped systems in 1D are proven to obey the area law. [Hastings 2007] (Area law violation) ⇒ Gapless
- ► For gapless case, (1 + 1)-dimensional CFT violates logarithmically: S<sub>A</sub> = <sup>c</sup>/<sub>3</sub> ln (volume of A). [Calabrese, Cardy 2009]

- Ground states of quantum many-body systems with local interactions typically exhibit the area law behavior of the entanglement entropy:  $S_A \propto (\text{area of } A)$
- ▶ Gapped systems in 1D are proven to obey the area law. [Hastings 2007] (Area law violation) ⇒ Gapless
- ► For gapless case, (1 + 1)-dimensional CFT violates logarithmically: S<sub>A</sub> = <sup>c</sup>/<sub>3</sub> ln (volume of A). [Calabrese, Cardy 2009]
- ► Belief for gapless case in *D*-dim. (over two decades) :  $S_A = O(L^{D-1} \ln L)$  (*L*: length scale of *A*)

- Ground states of quantum many-body systems with local interactions typically exhibit the area law behavior of the entanglement entropy:  $S_A \propto (\text{area of } A)$
- ▶ Gapped systems in 1D are proven to obey the area law. [Hastings 2007] (Area law violation) ⇒ Gapless
- ► For gapless case, (1 + 1)-dimensional CFT violates logarithmically: S<sub>A</sub> = <sup>c</sup>/<sub>3</sub> ln (volume of A). [Calabrese, Cardy 2009]
- ► Belief for gapless case in *D*-dim. (over two decades) :  $S_A = O(L^{D-1} \ln L)$  (*L*: length scale of *A*)
- Recently, 1D solvable spin chain models which exhibit significant area-law violation have been discovered.
  - ► Beyond logarithmic violation:  $S_A \propto \sqrt{\text{(volume of } A)}$ [Movassagh, Shor 2014], [Salberger, Korepin 2016] Counterexamples of the belief!

Motzkin spin model

Colored Motzkin model

Rényi entropy

Rényi entropy of Motzkin model

Summary and discussion

- ▶ 1D spin chain at sites  $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, 2n\}$
- Spin-1 state at each site can be regarded as up, down and flat steps;

$$|u\rangle \Leftrightarrow \nearrow, \qquad |d\rangle \Leftrightarrow \searrow, \qquad |0\rangle \Leftrightarrow \longrightarrow$$

- 1D spin chain at sites  $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, 2n\}$
- Spin-1 state at each site can be regarded as up, down and flat steps;

$$|u\rangle \Leftrightarrow \nearrow, \qquad |d\rangle \Leftrightarrow \searrow, \qquad |0\rangle \Leftrightarrow \longrightarrow$$

► Each spin configuration ⇔ length-2n walk in (x, y) plane Example)



[Bravyi et al 2012]

Hamiltonian:  $H_{Motzkin} = H_{bulk} + H_{bdy}$ ,  $H_{bdy} = |d\rangle_1 \langle d| + |u\rangle_{2n} \langle u|$ 

[Bravyi et al 2012]

Hamiltonian:  $H_{Motzkin} = H_{bulk} + H_{bdy}$ ,  $H_{bdy} = |d\rangle_1 \langle d| + |u\rangle_{2n} \langle u|$ 

► Bulk part: 
$$H_{bulk} = \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \prod_{j,j+1}$$
,  
 $\prod_{j,j+1} = |D\rangle_{j,j+1} \langle D| + |U\rangle_{j,j+1} \langle U| + |F\rangle_{j,j+1} \langle F|$ 

(local interactions) with

$$egin{aligned} &|D
angle \equiv rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0, \ d
angle - |d, \ 0
angle 
ight), \ &|U
angle \equiv rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0, \ u
angle - |u, \ 0
angle 
ight), \ &|F
angle \equiv rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0, \ 0
angle - |u, \ d
angle 
ight). \end{aligned}$$

[Bravyi et al 2012]

Hamiltonian:  $H_{Motzkin} = H_{bulk} + H_{bdy}$ ,  $H_{bdy} = |d\rangle_1 \langle d| + |u\rangle_{2n} \langle u|$ 

► Bulk part: 
$$H_{bulk} = \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \prod_{j,j+1}$$
,  
 $\prod_{j,j+1} = |D\rangle_{j,j+1} \langle D| + |U\rangle_{j,j+1} \langle U| + |F\rangle_{j,j+1} \langle F|$ 

(local interactions) with

$$egin{aligned} &|D
angle \equiv rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0,\,d
angle - |d,\,0
angle 
ight), \ &|U
angle \equiv rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0,\,u
angle - |u,\,0
angle 
ight), \ &|F
angle \equiv rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0,\,0
angle - |u,\,d
angle 
ight). \end{aligned}$$



[Bravyi et al 2012]

Hamiltonian:  $H_{Motzkin} = H_{bulk} + H_{bdy}$ ,  $H_{bdy} = |d\rangle_1 \langle d| + |u\rangle_{2n} \langle u|$ 

► Bulk part: 
$$H_{bulk} = \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \prod_{j,j+1}$$
,  
 $\prod_{j,j+1} = |D\rangle_{j,j+1} \langle D| + |U\rangle_{j,j+1} \langle U| + |F\rangle_{j,j+1} \langle F|$ 

(local interactions) with

$$egin{aligned} &|D
angle \equiv rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0,\,d
angle - |d,\,0
angle 
ight), \ &|U
angle \equiv rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0,\,u
angle - |u,\,0
angle 
ight), \ &|F
angle \equiv rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0,\,0
angle - |u,\,d
angle 
ight). \end{aligned}$$



[Bravyi et al 2012]

Hamiltonian:  $H_{Motzkin} = H_{bulk} + H_{bdy}$ ,  $H_{bdy} = |d\rangle_1 \langle d| + |u\rangle_{2n} \langle u|$ 

► Bulk part: 
$$H_{bulk} = \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \prod_{j,j+1}$$
,  
 $\prod_{j,j+1} = |D\rangle_{j,j+1} \langle D| + |U\rangle_{j,j+1} \langle U| + |F\rangle_{j,j+1} \langle F|$ 

(local interactions) with

$$egin{aligned} &|D
angle \equiv rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0,\,d
angle - |d,\,0
angle 
ight), \ &|U
angle \equiv rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0,\,u
angle - |u,\,0
angle 
ight), \ &|F
angle \equiv rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0,\,0
angle - |u,\,d
angle 
ight). \end{aligned}$$



"gauge equivalence".

[Bravyi et al 2012]

Hamiltonian:  $H_{Motzkin} = H_{bulk} + H_{bdy}$   $\Downarrow$ 

Hamiltonian:  $H_{Motzkin} = H_{bulk} + H_{bdy}$ 

•  $H_{Motzkin}$  is the sum of projection operators.

1

 $\Rightarrow$  Positive semi-definite spectrum

We find the unique zero-energy ground state.

Hamiltonian:  $H_{Motzkin} = H_{bulk} + H_{bdy}$ 

•  $H_{Motzkin}$  is the sum of projection operators.

 $\Rightarrow$  Positive semi-definite spectrum

- We find the unique zero-energy ground state.
  - Each projector in  $H_{Motzkin}$  annihilates the zero-energy state.

 $\Rightarrow$  Frustration free

► The ground state corresponds to randoms walks starting at (0,0) and ending at (2n,0) restricted to the region y ≥ 0 (Motzkin Walks (MWs)).



Example) 2n = 4 case, MWs:



Ground state:

$$|P_4\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{9}} [|0000\rangle + |ud00\rangle + |0ud0\rangle + |00ud\rangle + |u0d0\rangle + |0u0d\rangle + |u00d\rangle + |udud\rangle + |uudd\rangle].$$

 $\uparrow$ 

#### Note

Forbidden paths for the ground state

1. Path entering y < 0 region



Forbidden by  $H_{bdy}$ 

2. Path ending at nonzero height



Forbidden by  $H_{bdy}$ 

[Bravyi et al 2012]

In terms of S = 1 spin matrices

$$S_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_{\pm} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(S_x \pm iS_y) = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{bulk} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \left[ 1_j 1_{j+1} - \frac{1}{4} S_{zj} S_{zj+1} - \frac{1}{4} S_{zj}^2 S_{zj+1} + \frac{1}{4} S_{zj} S_{zj+1}^2 \right] \\ &- \frac{3}{4} S_{zj}^2 S_{zj+1}^2 + S_{+j} (S_z S_{-})_{j+1} + S_{-j} (S_+ S_z)_{j+1} - (S_- S_z)_j S_{+j+1} \\ &- (S_z S_+)_j S_{-j+1} - (S_- S_z)_j (S_+ S_z)_{j+1} - (S_z S_+)_j (S_z S_{-})_{j+1} \right], \\ H_{bdy} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( S_z^2 - S_z \right)_1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( S_z^2 + S_z \right)_{2n} \end{aligned}$$

Quartic spin interactions

[Bravyi et al 2012]

Entanglement entropy of the subsystem  $A = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ :

▶ Normalization factor of the ground state  $|P_{2n}\rangle$  is given by the number of MWs of length 2n:  $M_{2n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_k \binom{2n}{2k}$ .

 $C_k = \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{2k}{k}$ : Catalan number

with  $p_{n,n}^{(h)} \equiv \frac{(M_n^{(h)})^2}{M_n^4}$ .

Entanglement entropy of the subsystem  $A = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ :

▶ Normalization factor of the ground state  $|P_{2n}\rangle$  is given by the number of MWs of length 2n:  $M_{2n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_k \binom{2n}{2k}$ .

 $C_k = \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{2k}{k}$ : Catalan number

Consider to trace out the density matrix ρ = |P<sub>2n</sub>⟩⟨P<sub>2n</sub>| w.r.t. the subsystem B = {n + 1, · · · , 2n}. Schmidt decomposition:

$$\left|P_{2n}\right\rangle = \sum_{h\geq 0} \sqrt{p_{n,n}^{(h)}} \left|P_n^{(0\to h)}\right\rangle \otimes \left|P_n^{(h\to 0)}\right\rangle$$

 $\uparrow$ Paths from (0,0) to (*n*, *h*)

[Bravyi et al 2012]

► 
$$M_n^{(h)}$$
 is the number of paths in  $P_n^{(0 \to h)}$ .  
For  $n \to \infty$ , Gaussian distribution

$$p_{n,n}^{(h)} \sim \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{(h+1)^2}{n^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{3}{2} \frac{(h+1)^2}{n}} \times [1 + O(1/n)].$$

Reduced density matrix

$$\rho_{A} = \operatorname{Tr}_{B}\rho = \sum_{h \ge 0} p_{n,n}^{(h)} \left| P_{n}^{(0 \to h)} \right\rangle \left\langle P_{n}^{(0 \to h)} \right|$$

Entanglement entropy

$$S_{A} = -\sum_{h \ge 0} p_{n,n}^{(h)} \ln p_{n,n}^{(h)}$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2} \ln n + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2\pi}{3} + \gamma - \frac{1}{2}$  ( $\gamma$ : Euler constant)

up to terms vanishing as  $n \to \infty$ .

#### Notes

The system is critical (gapless).
 S<sub>A</sub> is similar to the (1 + 1)-dimensional CFT.

#### Notes

- The system is critical (gapless).
   S<sub>A</sub> is similar to the (1 + 1)-dimensional CFT.
- ▶ But, gap scales as O(1/n<sup>z</sup>) with z ≥ 2. (numerically, z ~ 3) The system cannot be described by relativistic CFT.

#### Notes

- The system is critical (gapless).
   S<sub>A</sub> is similar to the (1 + 1)-dimensional CFT.
- ▶ But, gap scales as O(1/n<sup>z</sup>) with z ≥ 2. (numerically, z ~ 3) The system cannot be described by relativistic CFT.
- As we will see later, the Rényi entropy exhibits different behavior from the CFT case.

#### Notes

- The system is critical (gapless).
   S<sub>A</sub> is similar to the (1 + 1)-dimensional CFT.
- ▶ But, gap scales as O(1/n<sup>z</sup>) with z ≥ 2. (numerically, z ~ 3) The system cannot be described by relativistic CFT.
- As we will see later, the Rényi entropy exhibits different behavior from the CFT case.
- Excitations have not been much investigated.

Motzkin spin model

Colored Motzkin model

Rényi entropy

Rényi entropy of Motzkin model

Summary and discussion

▶ Introducing color d.o.f.  $k = 1, 2, \dots, s$  to up and down spins as

$$|u^k\rangle \Leftrightarrow \checkmark, |d^k\rangle \Leftrightarrow \checkmark, |0\rangle \Leftrightarrow \_$$

Color d.o.f. decorated to Motzkin Walks

► Introducing color d.o.f.  $k = 1, 2, \dots, s$  to up and down spins as

$$\left| u^{k} \right\rangle \Leftrightarrow \overset{k}{\nearrow}, \qquad \left| d^{k} \right\rangle \Leftrightarrow \overset{k}{\searrow}, \qquad \left| 0 \right\rangle \Leftrightarrow \longrightarrow$$

Color d.o.f. decorated to Motzkin Walks

- Hamiltonian  $H_{cMotzkin} = H_{bulk} + H_{bdy}$ 
  - Bulk part consisting of local interactions:

$$H_{bulk} = \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \left( \Pi_{j,j+1} + \Pi_{j,j+1}^{cross} \right),$$

$$\Pi_{j,j+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \left[ \left| D^{k} \right\rangle_{j,j+1} \left\langle D^{k} \right| + \left| U^{k} \right\rangle_{j,j+1} \left\langle U^{k} \right| + \left| F^{k} \right\rangle_{j,j+1} \left\langle F^{k} \right| \right] \right]$$

with

[Movassagh, Shor 2014]

$$\begin{split} \left| D^{k} \right\rangle &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \left| 0, \, d^{k} \right\rangle - \left| d^{k}, \, 0 \right\rangle \right), \\ \left| U^{k} \right\rangle &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \left| 0, \, u^{k} \right\rangle - \left| u^{k}, \, 0 \right\rangle \right), \\ \left| F^{k} \right\rangle &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \left| 0, \, 0 \right\rangle - \left| u^{k}, \, d^{k} \right\rangle \right), \end{split}$$

and

$$\Pi_{j,j+1}^{cross} = \sum_{k 
eq k'} \left| u^k, \ d^{k'} \right\rangle_{j,j+1} \left\langle u^k, \ d^{k'} \right|.$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Colors should be matched in up and down pairs.

Boundary part

$$H_{bdy} = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \left( \left| d^{k} \right\rangle_{1} \left\langle d^{k} \right| + \left| u^{k} \right\rangle_{2n} \left\langle u^{k} \right| \right).$$

Still unique ground state with zero energy

[Movassagh, Shor 2014]

- Still unique ground state with zero energy
- Example) 2n = 4 case,



$$|P_{4}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+6s+2s^{2}}} \left[ |0000\rangle + \sum_{k=1}^{s} \left\{ \left| u^{k} d^{k} 00 \right\rangle + \dots + \left| u^{k} 00 d^{k} \right\rangle \right\} + \sum_{k,k'=1}^{s} \left\{ \left| u^{k} d^{k} u^{k'} d^{k'} \right\rangle + \left| u^{k} u^{k'} d^{k'} d^{k} \right\rangle \right\} \right].$$

#### Entanglement entropy

Paths from (0,0) to (n, h), P<sub>n</sub><sup>(0→h)</sup>, have h unmatched up steps.
 Let P<sub>n</sub><sup>(0→h)</sup>({κ<sub>m</sub>}) be paths with the colors of unmatched up steps frozen.

(unmatched up from height (m-1) to  $m) 
ightarrow u^{\kappa_m}$ 

Similarly,

 $P_n^{(h \to 0)} \to \tilde{P}_n^{(h \to 0)}(\{\kappa_m\}),$ 

(unmatched down from height m to (m-1))  $\rightarrow d^{\kappa_m}$ .

• The numbers satisfy 
$$M_n^{(h)} = s^h \tilde{M}_n^{(h)}$$
.
#### Example

$$2n = 8$$
 case,  $h = 2$ 



[Movassagh, Shor 2014]

Schmidt decomposition

$$|P_{2n}\rangle = \sum_{h\geq 0} \sum_{\kappa_1=1}^{s} \cdots \sum_{\kappa_h=1}^{s} \sqrt{p_{n,n}^{(h)}} \\ \times \left| \tilde{P}_n^{(0\to h)}(\{\kappa_m\}) \right\rangle \otimes \left| \tilde{P}_n^{(h\to 0)}(\{\kappa_m\}) \right\rangle$$

with

$$p_{n,n}^{(h)}=\frac{\left(\tilde{M}_{n}^{(h)}\right)^{2}}{M_{2n}}.$$

Reduced density matrix

$$\rho_A = \sum_{h \ge 0} \sum_{\kappa_1=1}^{s} \cdots \sum_{\kappa_h=1}^{s} p_{n,n}^{(h)} \\ \times \left| \tilde{P}_n^{(0 \to h)}(\{\kappa_m\}) \right\rangle \left\langle \tilde{P}_n^{(0 \to h)}(\{\kappa_m\}) \right|.$$

[Movassagh, Shor 2014]

• For 
$$n \to \infty$$
,

$$p_{n,n}^{(h)} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2} \, s^{-h}}{\sqrt{\pi} \, (\sigma n)^{3/2}} \, (h+1)^2 \, e^{-\frac{(h+1)^2}{2\sigma n}} \times [1 + O(1/n)]$$

with  $\sigma \equiv \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2\sqrt{s+1}}$ . Note: Effectively  $h \lesssim O(\sqrt{n})$ .

Entanglement entropy

$$S_A = -\sum_{h\geq 0} s^h p_{n,n}^{(h)} \ln p_{n,n}^{(h)}$$

[Movassagh, Shor 2014]

• For 
$$n \to \infty$$
,

$$p_{n,n}^{(h)} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2} \, s^{-h}}{\sqrt{\pi} \, (\sigma n)^{3/2}} \, (h+1)^2 \, e^{-\frac{(h+1)^2}{2\sigma n}} \times [1 + O(1/n)]$$

with  $\sigma \equiv \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2\sqrt{s+1}}$ . Note: Effectively  $h \lesssim O(\sqrt{n})$ .

Entanglement entropy

$$S_A = -\sum_{h \ge 0} s^h p_{n,n}^{(h)} \ln p_{n,n}^{(h)}$$
  
=  $(2 \ln s) \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma n}{\pi}} + \frac{1}{2} \ln n + \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi\sigma) + \gamma - \frac{1}{2} - \ln s$ 

up to terms vanishing as  $n \to \infty$ . Grows as  $\sqrt{n}$ .

#### Comments

Matching color 
$$\Rightarrow s^{-h}$$
 factor in  $p_{n,n}^{(h)}$   
 $\Rightarrow$  crucial to  $O(\sqrt{n})$  behavior in  $S_A$ 

#### Comments

- Matching color  $\Rightarrow s^{-h}$  factor in  $p_{n,n}^{(h)}$  $\Rightarrow$  crucial to  $O(\sqrt{n})$  behavior in  $S_A$
- For spin 1/2 chain (only up and down), the model in which similar behavior exhibits in colored as well as uncolored cases has been constructed. (Fredkin model) [Salberger, Korepin 2016]

#### Comments

- Matching color  $\Rightarrow s^{-h}$  factor in  $p_{n,n}^{(h)}$  $\Rightarrow$  crucial to  $O(\sqrt{n})$  behavior in  $S_A$
- For spin 1/2 chain (only up and down), the model in which similar behavior exhibits in colored as well as uncolored cases has been constructed. (Fredkin model) [Salberger, Korepin 2016]
- Deformation of models to achieve the volume law behavior  $(S_A \propto n)$ Weighted Motzkin/Dyck walks [Zhang et al, Salberger et al 2016]

Introduction

Motzkin spin model

Colored Motzkin model

Rényi entropy

Rényi entropy of Motzkin model

Summary and discussion

#### [Rényi, 1970]

Rényi entropy has further importance than the von Neumann entanglement entropy:

$$S_{A,\alpha} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln \operatorname{Tr}_A \rho_A^{\alpha}$$
 with  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\alpha \neq 1$ .

#### [Rényi, 1970]

Rényi entropy has further importance than the von Neumann entanglement entropy:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A},\, lpha} = rac{1}{1-lpha}\,\ln \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}} 
ho^{lpha}_{\mathcal{A}} \qquad ext{with } lpha > 0 ext{ and } lpha 
eq 1.$$

► Generalization of the von Neumann entanglement entropy:  $\lim_{\alpha \to 1} S_{A,\alpha} = S_A$ 

#### [Rényi, 1970]

Rényi entropy has further importance than the von Neumann entanglement entropy:

$$S_{A,\,\alpha} = rac{1}{1-lpha}\,\ln \operatorname{Tr}_A 
ho_A^lpha \qquad ext{with } lpha > 0 ext{ and } lpha 
eq 1.$$

- ► Generalization of the von Neumann entanglement entropy:  $\lim_{\alpha \to 1} S_{A, \alpha} = S_A$
- ► Reconstructs the whole spectrum of the entanglement Hamiltonian  $H_{\text{ent},A} \equiv -\ln \rho_A$ .

#### [Rényi, 1970]

Rényi entropy has further importance than the von Neumann entanglement entropy:

$$S_{A,\,\alpha} = rac{1}{1-lpha}\,\ln {
m Tr}_A\,
ho^lpha_A \qquad {
m with}\,\,lpha > 0\,\,{
m and}\,\,lpha 
eq 1.$$

- ► Generalization of the von Neumann entanglement entropy:  $\lim_{\alpha \to 1} S_{A, \alpha} = S_A$
- ► Reconstructs the whole spectrum of the entanglement Hamiltonian  $H_{\text{ent},A} \equiv -\ln \rho_A$ .
- For S<sub>A,α</sub> (0 < α < 1), the gapped systems in 1D is proven to obey the area law. [Huang, 2015]</li>
   For (1+1)D CFT, S<sub>A,α</sub> = <sup>c</sup>/<sub>6</sub> (1 + <sup>1</sup>/<sub>α</sub>) ln (volume of A)

#### [Rényi, 1970]

Rényi entropy has further importance than the von Neumann entanglement entropy:

$$S_{A,\,\alpha} = rac{1}{1-lpha}\,\ln {
m Tr}_A\,
ho^lpha_A \qquad {
m with}\,\,lpha > 0\,\,{
m and}\,\,lpha 
eq 1.$$

- ► Generalization of the von Neumann entanglement entropy:  $\lim_{\alpha \to 1} S_{A, \alpha} = S_A$
- ► Reconstructs the whole spectrum of the entanglement Hamiltonian  $H_{\text{ent},A} \equiv -\ln \rho_A$ .
- For S<sub>A,α</sub> (0 < α < 1), the gapped systems in 1D is proven to obey the area law. [Huang, 2015]</li>
   For (1+1)D CFT, S<sub>A,α</sub> = <sup>c</sup>/<sub>6</sub> (1 + <sup>1</sup>/<sub>α</sub>) ln (volume of A)

Here, we analytically compute the Rényi entropy of half-chain in the Motzkin model.

New phase transition found at  $\alpha = 1!$ 

Introduction

Motzkin spin model

Colored Motzkin model

Rényi entropy

Rényi entropy of Motzkin model

Summary and discussion

## Réyni entropy of Motzkin model 1 [F.S., Korepin, 2018]

What we compute is the asymptotic behavior of

$$S_{A,\alpha} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln \sum_{h=0}^{n} s^{h} \left( p_{n,n}^{(h)} \right)^{\alpha}.$$

What we compute is the asymptotic behavior of

$$S_{\mathcal{A},\,\alpha} = rac{1}{1-lpha}\,\ln\sum_{h=0}^n s^h\left(p_{n,n}^{(h)}
ight)^lpha.$$

• For colorless case (s = 1), we obtain

$$S_{A,\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \ln n + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln \Gamma \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ - \frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)} \left\{ (1+2\alpha) \ln \alpha + \alpha \ln \frac{\pi}{24} + \ln 6 \right\}$$

up to terms vanishing as  $n \to \infty$ .

What we compute is the asymptotic behavior of

$$S_{\mathcal{A},\,\alpha} = rac{1}{1-lpha}\,\ln\sum_{h=0}^n s^h\left(p_{n,n}^{(h)}
ight)^lpha.$$

• For colorless case (s = 1), we obtain

$$S_{A,\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \ln n + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln \Gamma \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ - \frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)} \left\{ (1+2\alpha) \ln \alpha + \alpha \ln \frac{\pi}{24} + \ln 6 \right\}$$

up to terms vanishing as  $n \to \infty$ .

- Logarithmic growth, but different from the CFT case
- Reduces to  $S_A$  in the  $\alpha \rightarrow 1$  limit.
- Consistent with half-chain case in the result in [Movassagh, 2017]

• The summand  $s^h \left(p_{n,n}^{(h)}\right)^{\alpha}$  has a factor  $s^{(1-\alpha)h}$ .

The summand s<sup>h</sup> (p<sup>(h)</sup><sub>n,n</sub>)<sup>α</sup> has a factor s<sup>(1−α)h</sup>.
 For 0 < α < 1, exponentially growing (colored case (s > 1)).
 ⇒ Saddle point value of the sum: h<sub>\*</sub> = O(n)

- The summand s<sup>h</sup> (p<sup>(h)</sup><sub>n,n</sub>)<sup>α</sup> has a factor s<sup>(1−α)h</sup>.
   For 0 < α < 1, exponentially growing (colored case (s > 1)).
   ⇒ Saddle point value of the sum: h<sub>\*</sub> = O(n)
- Saddle point analysis for the sum leads to

$$S_{A,\alpha} = n \frac{2\alpha}{1-\alpha} \ln \left[ \sigma \left( s^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} + s^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} + s^{-1/2} \right) \right] \\ + \frac{1+\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)} \ln n + C(s,\alpha)$$

with  $C(s, \alpha)$  being *n*-independent terms.

- The summand s<sup>h</sup> (p<sup>(h)</sup><sub>n,n</sub>)<sup>α</sup> has a factor s<sup>(1−α)h</sup>.
   For 0 < α < 1, exponentially growing (colored case (s > 1)).
   ⇒ Saddle point value of the sum: h<sub>\*</sub> = O(n)
- Saddle point analysis for the sum leads to

$$S_{A,\alpha} = \frac{n}{1-\alpha} \ln \left[ \sigma \left( s^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} + s^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} + s^{-1/2} \right) \right] + \frac{1+\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)} \ln n + C(s,\alpha)$$

with  $C(s, \alpha)$  being *n*-independent terms.

• The saddle point value is  $h_* = n \frac{s^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} - s^{1-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}}{s^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} + s^{1-\frac{1}{2\alpha}} + 1} + O(n^0).$ 

- The summand s<sup>h</sup> (p<sup>(h)</sup><sub>n,n</sub>)<sup>α</sup> has a factor s<sup>(1−α)h</sup>.
   For 0 < α < 1, exponentially growing (colored case (s > 1)).
   ⇒ Saddle point value of the sum: h<sub>\*</sub> = O(n)
- Saddle point analysis for the sum leads to

$$S_{A,\alpha} = \frac{n}{1-\alpha} \frac{2\alpha}{1-\alpha} \ln \left[ \sigma \left( s^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} + s^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} + s^{-1/2} \right) \right] + \frac{1+\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)} \ln n + C(s,\alpha)$$

with  $C(s, \alpha)$  being *n*-independent terms.

- The saddle point value is  $h_* = n \frac{s \frac{1}{2\alpha} s^{1-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}}{s \frac{1}{2\alpha} + s^{1-\frac{1}{2\alpha}} + 1} + O(n^0).$
- Linear growth in n.
- Universal meaning of the ln n term? (Same as Fredkin case)

- The summand s<sup>h</sup> (p<sup>(h)</sup><sub>n,n</sub>)<sup>α</sup> has a factor s<sup>(1−α)h</sup>.
   For 0 < α < 1, exponentially growing (colored case (s > 1)).
   ⇒ Saddle point value of the sum: h<sub>\*</sub> = O(n)
- Saddle point analysis for the sum leads to

$$S_{A,\alpha} = \frac{n}{1-\alpha} \frac{2\alpha}{1-\alpha} \ln \left[ \sigma \left( s^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} + s^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} + s^{-1/2} \right) \right] + \frac{1+\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)} \ln n + C(s,\alpha)$$

with  $C(s, \alpha)$  being *n*-independent terms.

- The saddle point value is  $h_* = n \frac{s \frac{1}{2\alpha} s^{1-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}}{s \frac{1}{2\alpha} + s^{1-\frac{1}{2\alpha}} + 1} + O(n^0).$
- Linear growth in n.
- Universal meaning of the ln n term? (Same as Fredkin case)
- Note:  $\alpha \to 1$  or  $s \to 1$  limit does not commute with the  $n \to \infty$  limit.

[F.S., Korepin, 2018]

### Rényi entropy for $\alpha > 1$

For α > 1, the factor s<sup>(1−α)h</sup> in the summand s<sup>h</sup> (p<sup>(h)</sup><sub>n,n</sub>)<sup>α</sup> exponentially decays.

Rényi entropy for  $\alpha>1$ 

► For  $\alpha > 1$ , the factor  $s^{(1-\alpha)h}$  in the summand  $s^h \left(p_{n,n}^{(h)}\right)^{\alpha}$ exponentially decays.  $\Rightarrow h \leq O\left(\frac{1}{(\alpha-1)\ln s}\right) = O(n^0)$  dominantly contributes to the sum.

### Rényi entropy for $\alpha>1$

- ► For  $\alpha > 1$ , the factor  $s^{(1-\alpha)h}$  in the summand  $s^h \left(p_{n,n}^{(h)}\right)^{\alpha}$ exponentially decays.  $\Rightarrow h \leq O\left(\frac{1}{(\alpha-1)\ln s}\right) = O(n^0)$  dominantly contributes to the sum.
- The result:

$$S_{A,\alpha}=\frac{3\alpha}{2(\alpha-1)}\ln n+O(n^0).$$

### Rényi entropy for $\alpha > 1$

- ► For  $\alpha > 1$ , the factor  $s^{(1-\alpha)h}$  in the summand  $s^h \left(p_{n,n}^{(h)}\right)^{\alpha}$ exponentially decays.  $\Rightarrow h \leq O\left(\frac{1}{(\alpha-1)\ln s}\right) = O(n^0)$  dominantly contributes to the sum.
- The result:

$$S_{A,\alpha}=\frac{3\alpha}{2(\alpha-1)}\ln n+O(n^0).$$

Logarithmic growth

### Rényi entropy for $\alpha>1$

- ► For  $\alpha > 1$ , the factor  $s^{(1-\alpha)h}$  in the summand  $s^h \left(p_{n,n}^{(h)}\right)^{\alpha}$ exponentially decays.  $\Rightarrow h \leq O\left(\frac{1}{(\alpha-1)\ln s}\right) = O(n^0)$  dominantly contributes to the sum.
- The result:

$$S_{A,\alpha}=\frac{3\alpha}{2(\alpha-1)}\ln n+O(n^0).$$

- Logarithmic growth
- $\alpha \rightarrow 1$  or  $s \rightarrow 1$  limit does not commute with the  $n \rightarrow \infty$  limit.

#### Phase transition

•  $S_{A,\alpha}$  grows as O(n) for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  while as  $O(\ln n)$  for  $\alpha > 1$ .

#### Phase transition

S<sub>A, α</sub> grows as O(n) for 0 < α < 1 while as O(ln n) for α > 1.
 ⇒ Non-analytic behavior at α = 1 (Phase transition)

Phase transition

- S<sub>A, α</sub> grows as O(n) for 0 < α < 1 while as O(ln n) for α > 1.
   ⇒ Non-analytic behavior at α = 1 (Phase transition)
- ► In terms of the entanglement Hamiltonian,  $\operatorname{Tr}_A \rho_A^{\alpha} = \operatorname{Tr}_A e^{-\alpha H_{\text{ent},A}}$   $\alpha$ : "inverse temperature"

Phase transition

- S<sub>A, α</sub> grows as O(n) for 0 < α < 1 while as O(ln n) for α > 1.
   ⇒ Non-analytic behavior at α = 1 (Phase transition)
- ► In terms of the entanglement Hamiltonian,  $\operatorname{Tr}_A \rho_A^{\alpha} = \operatorname{Tr}_A e^{-\alpha H_{\text{ent},A}}$   $\alpha$ : "inverse temperature"

•  $0 < \alpha < 1$ : "high temperature"

(Height of dominant paths h = O(n))

•  $\alpha > 1$ : "low temperature"

(Height of dominant paths  $h = O(n^0)$ )

Phase transition

.

- S<sub>A, α</sub> grows as O(n) for 0 < α < 1 while as O(ln n) for α > 1.
   ⇒ Non-analytic behavior at α = 1 (Phase transition)
- ► In terms of the entanglement Hamiltonian,  $\operatorname{Tr}_A \rho_A^{\alpha} = \operatorname{Tr}_A e^{-\alpha H_{\text{ent},A}}$   $\alpha$ : "inverse temperature"
  - $0 < \alpha < 1$ : "high temperature"

(Height of dominant paths h = O(n))

•  $\alpha > 1$ : "low temperature"

(Height of dominant paths  $h = O(n^0)$ )

 $\blacktriangleright$  The transition point  $\alpha=1$  itself forms the third phase.

$$S_{A,\alpha}: \qquad O(\ln n) \qquad O(\sqrt{n}) \qquad O(n)$$

$$0 \qquad 1 \qquad 1/\alpha$$

$$h: \qquad O(n^0) \qquad O(\sqrt{n}) \qquad O(n)$$

Introduction

Motzkin spin model

Colored Motzkin model

Rényi entropy

Rényi entropy of Motzkin model

Summary and discussion

# Summary and discussion 1

### Summary

We have reviewed the colored Motzkin spin models and their cousins which yield large entanglement entropy proportional to a square root or linear of the volume.

# Summary and discussion 1

### Summary

- We have reviewed the colored Motzkin spin models and their cousins which yield large entanglement entropy proportional to a square root or linear of the volume.
- We have extended the models by introducing additional d.o.f. based on Symmetric Inverse Semigroups.

[F.S., Padmanabhan, 2018; Padmanabhan, F.S., Korepin, 2018]
#### Summary

- We have reviewed the colored Motzkin spin models and their cousins which yield large entanglement entropy proportional to a square root or linear of the volume.
- We have extended the models by introducing additional d.o.f. based on Symmetric Inverse Semigroups.

[F.S., Padmanabhan, 2018; Padmanabhan, F.S., Korepin, 2018]

- As a feature of the extended models, Anderson-like localization occurs in excited states corresponding to disconnected paths.
  - "2nd quantized paths".

#### Summary

- We have analytically computed the Rényi entropy of half-chain in the Motzkin model.
  - Phase transition at  $\alpha = 1$ . No analog for other spin chains investigated so far (XX, XY, AKLT,...).

#### Summary

- We have analytically computed the Rényi entropy of half-chain in the Motzkin model.
  - Phase transition at α = 1. No analog for other spin chains investigated so far (XX, XY, AKLT,...).
  - For  $0 < \alpha < 1$  ("high temperature"),  $S_{A, \alpha} = O(n)$ .
  - For  $\alpha > 1$  ("low temperature"),  $S_{A, \alpha} = O(\ln n)$ .

#### Summary

- We have analytically computed the Rényi entropy of half-chain in the Motzkin model.
  - Phase transition at  $\alpha = 1$ . No analog for other spin chains investigated so far (XX, XY, AKLT,...).
  - For  $0 < \alpha < 1$  ("high temperature"),  $S_{A, \alpha} = O(n)$ .
  - For  $\alpha > 1$  ("low temperature"),  $S_{A, \alpha} = O(\ln n)$ .
- We also have a similar result for the Fredkin spin chain. [F.S., Korepin, 2018]
  - ► The same phase transition occurs for chain of general length

#### Summary

- We have analytically computed the Rényi entropy of half-chain in the Motzkin model.
  - Phase transition at  $\alpha = 1$ . No analog for other spin chains investigated so far (XX, XY, AKLT,...).
  - For  $0 < \alpha < 1$  ("high temperature"),  $S_{A, \alpha} = O(n)$ .
  - For  $\alpha > 1$  ("low temperature"),  $S_{A, \alpha} = O(\ln n)$ .
- ► We also have a similar result for the Fredkin spin chain.

[F.S., Korepin, 2018]

- ► The same phase transition occurs for chain of general length
- In the deformed Fredkin model with s > 1 and t > 1, such phase transition does not happen. [Udagawa, Katsura 2017]

Future directions

 Continuum limit (QFT description)? (In particular, for colored case) [Chen, Fradkin, Witczak-Krempa 2017]

#### Future directions

- Continuum limit (QFT description)? (In particular, for colored case)
  [Chen, Fradkin, Witczak-Krempa 2017]
- Holography?

[Alexander, Klich 2018]

#### Future directions

- Continuum limit (QFT description)? (In particular, for colored case)
  [Chen, Fradkin, Witczak-Krempa 2017]
- Holography? [Alexander, Klich 2018] Application to quantum gravity or black holes?

[Personal speculation]

Boundary:  $S_A \sim \ln L_A \iff$  Bulk: geodesic length on AdS<sub>2</sub>  $S_A \sim \sqrt{L_A} \iff$  Bulk: geodesic length on 2D random surface

#### Future directions

- Continuum limit (QFT description)? (In particular, for colored case)
  [Chen, Fradkin, Witczak-Krempa 2017]
- Holography? [Alexander, Klich 2018] Application to quantum gravity or black holes?

[Personal speculation]

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \mbox{Boundary:} & S_A \sim \ln L_A & \Leftrightarrow & \mbox{Bulk: geodesic length on } AdS_2 \\ & S_A \sim \sqrt{L_A} & \Leftrightarrow & \mbox{Bulk: geodesic length on} \\ & & \mbox{2D random surface} \end{array}$ 

▶ Higher-dimensional models (*d* = 2, 3, ...)?

#### Future directions

- Continuum limit (QFT description)? (In particular, for colored case)
  [Chen, Fradkin, Witczak-Krempa 2017]
- Holography? [Alexander, Klich 2018] Application to quantum gravity or black holes?

[Personal speculation]

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \mbox{Boundary:} & S_A \sim \ln L_A & \Leftrightarrow & \mbox{Bulk: geodesic length on } AdS_2 \\ & S_A \sim \sqrt{L_A} & \Leftrightarrow & \mbox{Bulk: geodesic length on} \\ & & \mbox{2D random surface} \end{array}$ 

▶ Higher-dimensional models (*d* = 2, 3, ...)?

#### Thank you very much for your attention!